

SUMMARY REPORT

Florida TRADE Consortium

THIRD PARTY REVIEW OF DELIVERABLES

Purpose: To provide technical assistance and support to the Manufacturing Institute in reviewing deliverables from the Florida TRADE Consortium.

In accordance with the requirements set by the U.S. Department of Labor, Employment and Training Administration, provide an independent, third -party review of deliverables, including curriculum training materials, on-line, courses and programs, videos, manuals and program evaluation results.

Results: Total files reviewed: 149 Total files posted: 54
 MSSC CPT Modules: 41
 Curriculum Files: 62
 Videos: 3
 Pathway Files: 5
 SOP Operation Files: 7
 Outreach Files: 31

Overall Summary of Review:

1= Poor; 5 -Good

CURRICULUM	Section Not Applicable <input type="checkbox"/>	Yes	No	NA	Rating 1-5
How do you rate the curriculum quality?					<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>
How do you rate the usefulness of the curriculum?					<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>
Are the materials relevant and meet standards of the subject matter?		X			
Is the curriculum appropriate for audience?		X			
Is the curriculum consistent with stated objectives?		X			
Can the information in the curriculum be applied in practice?		X			
Does the curriculum reflect best practices in the field of study?		X			Exceptions Noted
Can the curriculum contribute to achieving personal & professional goals?		X			
Was the length of the course adequate to convey the subject's information?		X			Exceptions Noted
Does the curriculum meet the appropriate level of difficulty?		X			HS and Above
Is any new language or terminology defined appropriately?		X			Industry Std
Content was clear and presented in an organized format for easy learning?		X			Good Presentation

VIDEO	Section Not Applicable <input type="checkbox"/>	Yes	No	NA	Rating 1-5
How do you rate the pace and length of video?					<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>
How do you rate the quality of video? Sound and Picture					<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>
Does the video use concepts that are easy to understand?		X			
Are the graphics and/or animation clear and appropriate?		X			Exceptions Noted
Does the video convey the message of the subject matter or title?		X			

PATHWAYS	Section Not Applicable <input type="checkbox"/>	Yes	No	NA
Are the pathways clear and understandable?		X		Numerous Identified
Does courses define what the student should know/be able to do?		X		
Are the courses appropriate for the student's career pathway?		X		Focused Subjects
Is the pathway consistent with business and industry standards?		X		
Does the pathway show evidence of career relevance?		X		
Are there National Certifications in the pathway?		X		Numerous to Obtain
Does the pathway include employability skills?		X		

Results of Deliverables:

Deliverable is of advanced quality – The deliverable was accurate, thoughtful, and coherently developed showing that the developer clearly understood the content.

Deliverable is of proficient quality – The deliverable was mostly accurate, thoughtful, and coherently developed showing that the developer generally understood the content.

Deliverable is of unsatisfactory quality – Some inaccuracies were seen in the deliverables or there are some missing elements and/or the developer clearly or totally misunderstood the content involved.

In summary:

The MSSC CPT Training was organized well, delivered the correct content and defined manufacturing to current best practices in the industry. Modules were consistent in delivery and content.

The outreach marketing material was excellent providing interested, prospective students the information needed to make logical decisions in a career field choice.


The pathways and national certificates were well defined and each certificate had focused training.

The standalone videos were well made and covered each topic in depth. Length varied according to the subject but all conveyed the message well.

The syllabi, course outlines and lecture materials were mostly accurate and well thought out. The Trade Consortium promoted the trades well in the reviewed material.

The on-line part of the review was user-friendly and the platform was well designed. Maintenance of the educational videos and subject areas will need to be monitored in the future to keep abreast with location/link changes and industry technology advancements.

I certify that I do not have a decision making authority pertaining to the grant, nor that I am employed by the US Department of Labor or Employment and Training Administration.

Signature  Date 8/2/16