

MERLOT – SKILLSCOMMONS Peer Reviewer Report Form (V 17.3)

Name of Learning Material:	Video Tutorials
SKILLSCOMMONS URL:	https://www.skillscommons.org/handle/taaccct/8789
Learning Material URL:	
Reviewer's Name:	Jonathan Greenwald/Catherine Hagan
Date Review Completed:	08-07-2018
Review Time Required:	45 minutes

Description

1. Overview: Describe overview, features and descriptions, uses, and applications. Include cost, if any for apps	These are short videos providing visual demonstrations of each skill
2. Type of material: Animation, assessment tool, assignment, case study, collection, development tool, drill and practice, e-portfolio, learning object repository, online course, open journal article, open textbook, presentation, reference material, simulation/game, social networking tool, quiz/test, tutorial, workshop and training material.	Video
3. Technical requirements: Browser, software or plug-in, Java, HTML, Flash, etc. You can test how it appears on Internet Explorer at http://ipinfo.info/netrenderer/ Note type of device if it is an app (iPad, Android, phone, etc.)	Computer and video projector. Can be watched on a personal computer...
4. Identify major learning goals/ curriculum objectives: Purpose of site, goal for learner/user.	Patient interview, Adult Physical Assessment, Pediatric Physical Assessment, Intubation, Airway Device, Trauma, Decompression, Immobilization, Splinting, Hemorrhage Control, IV Medication Administration, Infusion, Defibrillation, etc.
5. Recommended uses: In-class, homework, individual, team, lecture, etc.	In-class, homework, individual, team, lecture,
6. Target population: Level, course or subject matter, other user groups	EMTs and paramedics
7. Prerequisite knowledge or skills needed: Course or subject matter, computer skills, other miscellaneous skills	At least basic EMT
8. Application to industry recognized certification: Name of credential or certification.	Necessary to complete both EMT and paramedic training.

Evaluation and Observations: After reviewing the learning material, please indicate your agreement with the following statements by placing an "x" in the applicable column where 5=excellent or strongly agree and 1=poor or strongly disagree.

1. Quality of Content – The Learning Material...	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	N/A
...is clear and concise		XX				
...provides a complete demonstration of the concept		XX				
...demonstrates a core concept grounded in the discipline		XX				
...is current and relevant			XX			
...is supported by appropriate research	XX					
...is self-contained (can be used without requiring an assignment or context)				XX		
...provides accurate information		XX				
...is flexible (can be used in several situations)		XX				
...includes an adequate amount of material		XX				
...has strong workplace relevance		XX				
...integrates the concept well		XX				
Overall , the quality of the content is very high						
	5	4.5	3.5	2.5	1.5	0
Enter a numerical value that summarizes your attitudes above.		4.0				
Strengths (Describe the outstanding features of the quality of the learning material) God depiction of a patient interview.						
Concerns (Describe any problems in terms of quality) Does not follow the general hx gathering format of SAMPLE including OPQRST						
2. Potential Effectiveness as a Teaching Tool/This Learning Material...						
...identifies learning objectives					XX	
...identifies prerequisite knowledge			XX			
...reinforces concepts progressively			XX			
...builds on prior concepts		XX				
...demonstrates relationships between concepts						XX
...is easy to integrate into curriculum assignments	XX					
...is very efficient (could learn a lot in a short time)		XX				
...can be used to measure student learning outcomes			XX			
Overall , learning material is a very effective teaching tool						
	5	4.5	3.5	2.5	1.5	0
Enter a numerical value that summarizes your attitudes above.			3,5			
Strengths (Describe the outstanding features of the quality of the learning material) Generally a reasonable demonstration.						
Concerns (Describe any problems in terms of quality) Instructor would have to fill in some gaps and clarify order of questioning.						
3. Ease of Use – This Learning Material...						
...is easy to use	XX					
...has very clear instructions			XX			
...is engaging			XX			
...is visually appealing				XX		
...is interactive			XX			
...is of high design quality				XX		
...meets accessibility requirements if able to assess		XX				
...if an app, can be used on multiple types of mobile devices and platforms		XX				

...if an app, runs effectively without the internet						
Overall , the usability of this learning material is very high						
	5	4.5	3.5	2.5	1.5	0
Please enter the numeric value that summarizes your attitudes above.			3.5			
Strengths: (Indicate the outstanding features of the usability of the learning material) Generally demonstrates skill adequately Concerns: (List problems in terms of usability) Not particularly engaging or realistic						
	5	4.5	3.5	2.5	1.5	0
What is your overall numeric rating for this material? Review your 3 ratings above and determine your overall rating 3.0						
Optional Information: Other comments to be included in the review: (If an app, respects privacy of user, meets PG rating standards, how frequently app is updated) Comments to author only: (Any needed improvements or recommendations should be addressed here.)						

All materials by [SkillsCommons IMPACTcommunities](#), [CSU-MERLOT SkillsCommons](#) are licensed under [CC BY 4.0](#)
 This product was funded by a grant from the U.S. Department of Labor, Employment and Training Administration.

