

MERLOT – SKILLSCOMMONS Peer Reviewer Report Form (V 52218)

Name of Learning Material:	MAC Semester 1 Combined Course Projects (MAC110, 120, 201, 202, 205, 206, 245)
SKILLSCOMMONS URL:	https://www.skillscommons.org/handle/taaccct/6661
Learning Material URL:	
Reviewer's Name:	Rob Speckert
Date Review Completed:	29-Aug-22
Review Time Required:	45 minutes
Rejected? Y/N	N
Description	Good projects
1. Overview: Describe overview, features and descriptions, uses, and applications. Include cost, if any for apps	The materials in this submission include the projects used to measure student competencies for the courses listed in the title.
2. Type of material: Animation, assessment tool, assignment, case study, collection, development tool, drill and practice, e-portfolio, learning object repository, online course, open journal article, open textbook, presentation, reference material, simulation/game, social networking tool, quiz/test, tutorial, workshop and training material.	Hybrid/Blended Course
3. Technical requirements: Browser, software or plug-in, Java, HTML, Flash, etc. You can test how it appears on Internet Explorer at http://ipinfo.info/netrenderer/ Note type of device if it is an app (iPad, Android, phone, etc.)	No problems accessing files.
4. Identify major learning goals/ curriculum objectives: Purpose of site, goal for learner/user.	Clear goals
5. Recommended uses: In-class, homework, individual, team, lecture, etc.	In class/labs
6. Target population: Level, course or subject matter, other user groups	First year college or last two years in career center
7. Prerequisite knowledge or skills needed: Course or subject matter, computer skills, other miscellaneous skills	None
8. Application to industry recognized certification: Name of credential or certification.	Needed by industry

Evaluation and Observations: After reviewing the learning material, please indicate your agreement with the following statements by utilizing the scoring scale:
4=Strongly Agree; 3=Agree; 2=Disagree; 1=Strongly Disagree; and 0=N/A.

#1 Quality of Content – The Learning Material...	Strongly Agree: 4	Agree: 3	Disagree: 2	Strongly Disagree: 1	N/A: 0	TOTAL

...is clear and concise	4					
...provides a complete demonstration of the concept	4					
...demonstrates a core concept grounded in the discipline	4					
...is current and relevant	4					
...is supported by appropriate research	4					
...is self-contained (can be used without requiring an assignment or context)	4					
...provides accurate information	4					
...is flexible (can be used in several situations)	4					
...includes an adequate amount of material	4					
...has strong workplace relevance	4					
...integrates the concept well	4					
Overall, the quality of the content is very high	4					
Total: #1 Quality of Content	48					48

#2 Potential Effectiveness as a Teaching Tool/This Learning Material...	Strongly Agree: 4	Agree: 3	Disagree: 2	Strongly Disagree: 1	N/A: 0	TOTAL
... identifies learning objectives	4					
... identifies prerequisite knowledge	4					
... reinforces concepts progressively	4					
... builds on prior concepts	4					
... demonstrates relationships between concepts	4					
... is easy to integrate into curriculum assignments	4					
... is very efficient (could learn a lot in a short time)	4					
... can be used to measure student learning outcomes	4					
Overall, learning material is a very effective teaching tool	4					
Total: #2 Effectiveness as Teaching Tool	36					36

#3 Ease of Use – This Learning Material...	Strongly Agree: 4	Agree: 3	Disagree: 2	Strongly Disagree: 1	N/A: 0	TOTAL

...is easy to use	4					
...has very clear instructions	4					
...is engaging	4					
...is visually appealing	4					
...is interactive	4					
...is of high design quality	4					
...meets accessibility requirements if able to assess	4					
... if an app, can be used on multiple types of mobile devices and platforms					0	
Total: #3 Ease of Use	28					28

Combined TOTAL scores (add together the totals as indicated above for #1, #2, #3)	112
Optional Information:	
Other comments to be included in the review: (If an app, respects privacy of user, meets PG rating standards, how frequently app is updated)	Good material. Practical material needed by industry.
Comments to author only: (Any needed improvements or recommendations should be addressed here.)	

All materials by [SkillsCommons IMPACTcommunities](#), [CSU-MERLOT SkillsCommons](#) are licensed under [CC BY 4.0](#)

This product was funded by a grant from the U.S. Department of Labor, Employment and Training Administration.

