## **Resource Tagging Template**

Title: Writing Evaluation Persuasive Essay or Argument

Submitted by: Beverly Montgomery

Campus: Southeast Arkansas College

**Developer of the Resource**: Beverly Montgomery

Contact Information: <a href="mailto:bmontgomery@seark.edu">bmontgomery@seark.edu</a>; (870) 543-5928

**Short Description**: The checklist can be used to quickly evaluate a student's argumentative essay

without editing and marking on the paper. It allows a student to see what he

or she needs to work on for the next draft or final essay.

Resource Type: Assessment, Evaluation, Checklist, Argumentation

Audience: Instructor

Program Area: Dev Ed

Curriculum Area: Integrated Reading and Writing, Composition

Name:	Date:	Course
-------	-------	--------

## Rubric: Argumentative/Persuasive Comment List

Yes, No, NA	Criteria	Comments
	Interesting, phrasal title that suggests paper's content	
	Intro ¶: 5-8 or 8-12 sentences; intro developed by anecdote, general	
	to specific, startling quotations or statistics, and or a combination of methods	
	Intro provides background information ; background adequate	
	Intro defines terms if needs to.	
	Intro paves way for thesis; thesis last sentence in intro	
	Thesis meets criteria for effective thesis.	
	Thesis has assertion or claim.	
	Claim in thesis suggests your position: advocate or opponent.	
	Body ¶'s begin with main idea sentences.	
	Body ¶'s contain adequate supporting sentences.	
	Body ¶'s contain concluding sentences.	
	Overall body ¶'s contain reliable evidence to support position.	
	Overall body ¶'s provide sufficient evidence to support position.	
	Overall body ¶'s contain variety of evidence to support claim.	
	Overall body ¶'s provide representative evidence to support claim.	
	Body ¶'s are coherent; transition used when needed	
	Body ¶'s are unified; irrelevant information left out	
	Body ¶'s are organized logically: emphatic order, chronological	
	Body ¶'s organized block method or point-by-point	
	Body ¶'s acknowledge opposition or opposing viewpoint	
	Body ¶'s point out the common ground.	
	Body ¶'s address opponent's claims.	
	Body ¶'s refute/rebut opponent; show how writer's view stronger.	
	Body ¶'s appeal to logos (logic).	
	Body ¶'s appeal to ethos (sources are credible).	
	Body ¶'s appeal to pathos (emotions yet reasoned).	
	Body ¶'s documented parenthetically (summary, paraphrase,	
	quotations)	
	MLA Parenthetical documentation correct throughout	
	Lead-ins, signal phrases, attribution phrase/words present	
	Lead-ins, signal phrases, attribution phrase integrated appropriately	
	Conclusion ¶ restated major points, offers possible solution	
	Lacks major sentence errors: run-ons, fragments, modifiers, shifts	
	etc.	
	Tone appropriate, not arrogant, condescending, businesslike, firm	
	Works cited page attached.	
	Works cited page formatted correctly according to MLA.	
	Works cited page follows conventions for entries (print and Internet)	
	Paper lacks plagiarized sections: intentional or unintentional	

"This workforce solution was funded by a grant awarded by the U.S. Department of Labor's Employment and Training Administration. The solution was created by the grantee and does not necessarily reflect the official position of the U.S. Department of Labor. The Department of Labor makes no guarantees, warranties, or assurances of any kind, express or implied, with respect to such information, including any information on linked sites and including, but not limited to, accuracy of the information or its completeness, timeliness, usefulness, adequacy, continued availability, or ownership."



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons 3.0 License <a href="http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0">http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0</a>
This work was developed by Beverly Montgomery through the PACE grant: <a href="http://www.nwacc.edu/web/PACE">http://www.nwacc.edu/web/PACE</a>
<a href="http://www.nwacc.edu/web/PACE">h