Trade Adjustment Assistance Community College & Career Training (TAACCCT) Grant

Third-Party Subject Matter Expert Deliverables

The US Department of Labor, Employment and Training Administration (ETA) awarded Fox Valley Technical College (FVTC) a grant under ETA's Trade Adjustment Assistance Community College and Career Training (TAACCCT) initiative. FVTC is required to identify third-party subject matter experts to conduct reviews of the deliverables produced through the grants. Subject matter experts (SMEs) are individuals with demonstrated experience in developing and/or implementing similar deliverables. SMEs should assess the quality of substantive deliverables resulting in tangible products that are identified in grantees' Statements of Work. FVTC must provide ETA with the results of the review and the qualifications of the reviewer with the deliverable that was reviewed.

Please fill out one form for each deliverable reviewed.

Subject Matter Expert Review	
Date of Review	5-21-15
Name of SME Reviewer	5-21-15 Terry fleischman
Professional Title and Employer of Reviewer	Dept chair
Reviewer Qualifications	Please check all that apply.
(What is your demonstrated	☑ I have a Bachelor's degree or above in a related
experience in developing and/or	subject area from an accredited institution of higher
implementing similar	learning.
deliverables?)	I have 25 years of teaching experience in a
	subject matter that relates to the deliverable(s) in a
	professional or academic setting.
	I have <u>5</u> years of industry experience in a
	subject matter area that relates to the deliverable(s) in a professional or academic setting.
	☐ I have 3 → years of experience working with
	adult learning methodologies and/or practices.
	☑ I have previously developed and/or implemented
	similar deliverables in a professional or academic
	capacity.
	☑ I have previous experience developing curricula.
	My knowledge of the subject matter area related
	to the deliverable(s) is current within two years.
	I have specialized knowledge about the technical peripherals of the deliverable(s).

Title of Deliverable Being Reviewed	10-605-148 Embedded Programming 3
Result of Deliverables (Please assess the quality of the deliverable or deliverables) Further assessment:	Please check one. □ Deliverable is of advanced quality. The deliverable was accurately, thoughtfully and coherently developed, and shows that the developer clearly understood the principles involved. □ Deliverable is of proficient quality. The deliverable is mostly accurate, coherent thoughtfully and coherently applied principles of the work, and shows that the developer generally understood the principles involved. □ Deliverable is of unsatisfactory quality. Some inaccuracies were seen in the deliverable or there were missing elements, and the developer clearly or totally misunderstood the principles involved.
	If you are assessing a group of deliverables that are not all of the same quality, please give additional details below.
Further assessment:	
ertify that I do not have decision manployed by the US Department of La	aking authority pertaining to the grant, nor that I am
gnature:	Date: 5-21-15