

# Subject Matter Experts (SME) Review New Safety Curriculum

**Program/Course Reviewed:** SAFE-120

College: Lorain County Community College (LCCC), 1005 N. Abbe Rd., Elyria, Ohio 44035

Reviewed By: ELSMART Associates, LLC (credentials of reviewers include Certified Safety Professional (CSP), Certified Industrial Hygienist (CIH), Certified Hazardous Material Manager (CHMM), Certified Environmental Trainer (CET), Registered Nurse (BSN-RN), Society Human Resources Manager – Certified Professional (SHRM-CP), Professional in Human Resources (PHR)) – Total years of experience for reviewers in Environmental, Safety, and Health over 100 years.

Date: 5-31-2018

#### **Review scale definitions:**

**Exceptional:** Review component is a "best practice" and represents a model for replication.

**Very good:** Review component is complete and effective.

**Good:** Review component is adequate but presents opportunities for improvement.

**Ineffective:** Review component is weak and in need of significant improvement.

**No or Insufficient Evidence:** Review component was not covered or information provided in the documents was insufficient for assessment.

1



	rriculum Map, Career Ladder/Stackable edential Documentation, Syllabi	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Ineffective	No/Insufficient Evidence
1.	Program CIP code/s appropriate to program title and outcomes.		X			
2.	Effective program structure (prerequisites, course sequence, stackable credential-structure provide a clear, logical path to completion).					X
3.	Outcomes aligned to occupational focus (industry skills and standards) and prepare students for appropriate industry certification/s.				X	
4.	Outcomes are clearly stated.				X	
5.	Outcomes are introduced and reinforced effectively.				X	
6.	Course objectives are clearly stated and measurable.				X	
7.	In multi-course programs, course objectives support one or more program or student learning outcome. In single-course programs, modules support one or more course objective.			X		

## Comments or recommendations specific to each section rated:

- 1. Meets Requirements
- 2. PowerPoints, Tutorials, and Videos need to be updated to include Chemical Safety Board (CSB) Material.
- 3. Outcomes not clearly identified. Outcomes not Specific, Measurable, Attainable, Relevant and Timely.
- 4. Outcomes do not meet skills, knowledge, or application needed by support personnel. Do not meet criteria specificed in OSHA
- 5. Outcomes are listed in syllabus and PPT's support outcomes.

2



- 6. Outcomes not clearly identified. Outcomes not Specific, Measurable, Attainable, Relevant and Timely.
- 7. Some course objectives supported.

General comments or recommendations: Learning outcomes need to be improved and updated to current regulatory guidance. Most reference material more than 10 years old and outdated. .

Instructional Materials and Lab Resources		Excellent	Very Good	Good	Ineffective	No/Insufficient Evidence
1.	Support stated course or unit learning objectives.			Χ		
2.	Meet/reflect current industry practices and standards.			Χ		
3.	Provide options for multiple learning styles.			X		
4.	Instructional materials are cited properly.				X	
5.	There is evidence of materials and resources that support on-line or technology-enabled learning.				Х	

## Comments or recommendations specific to each section rated:

- 1. ADA information must be listed in syllabus and reinforced in assignments.
- 2. Course does not prepare student to be effective in writing or planning. No exercise discussion
- 3. Assignments presented do not reflect varied learning styles. Course needs activities, case studies, and real world problem solving. Should also incorporate calculations for evaluation of issues during sampling.
- 4. Instructional material suggestions Consider using OSHA publications. https://www.osha.gov/pls/publications/publication.athruz?pType=Industry&pID=250
- 5. No online or simulation software recommended or used.

General comments or recommendations: Update course materials to include CBT's, scenarios, and discussions. In addition, no assessments provided.

3



	erview Table: Objectives, Modules/Units, arning Activities and Assessments	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Ineffective	No/Insufficient Evidence
1.	Modules/units are linked to course objectives.				X	
2.	Learning activities promote achievement of stated module/unit objectives.					X
3.	Learning activities provide opportunities for interaction and active learning.					X
4.	Learning activities provide options for multiple learning styles.				X	
5.	Learning activities are linked to current industry practices, standards and certifications.				X	
6.	Learning activities demonstrate evidence of innovation or enhancements to support adult learner success.					X
7.	Materials/resources (to include equipment, tools and software) are used in a way that students understand their purpose and use in industry settings.					X
8.	Assessments measure stated learning objectives and link to industry standards.					Χ
9.	Assessments align with course activities and instructional materials and resources.					X
10.	Assessments are sequenced throughout the instructional period to enable students to build on feedback.					X
11.	Assessments are varied and appropriate to content.					X
12.	Assessments provide opportunities for students to measure their own learning progress.					Х

## **Comments or recommendations specific to each section rated:**

- 1. Objectives and course material not aligned.
- 2. No learning activities, exercises, or case studies assigned.
- 3. No learning activities, exercises, or case studies assigned.
- 4. Few videos offered and no learning activities, exercises, or case studies assigned.

4



- 5. See note below for updates in comments.
- 6. No learning activities, exercises, or case studies assigned.
- 7. No applications or software presented in course. Recommend Combustible Dust Hazard Assessment Timber products Manufacturers Inc. App
- 8. No assessments in course materials
- 9. No assessments in course materials
- 10. No assessments in course materials
- 11. No assessments in course materials
- 12. No assessments in course materials

General comments or recommendations: Recommend course format be OSHA guidance, OSHA standards, OSHA enforcement, Consensus Standards and Benchmarking, and exercise. Consider presentation in addition to quizzes and exams. I would also recommend case studies to reinforce main objectives of identify and applying OSHA guidance, OSHA enforcement, Consensus Standards and Benchmarking.

- 1. Introduction to Emergency & Evacuation Planning
- a. Purpose and requirements of emergency action and fire prevention plans
- b. Integration of emergency plan concepts
- c. Design and maintenance of emergency exit routes
- 2. Hazardous Materials
- a. Terminology
- b. General protective procedures
- c. Information gathering
- d. Patient care
- e. Decontamination Operations
- f. Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) assessment
- 3. Impact of Pandemic Influenza
- 4. Key Elements of a Preparedness Plan
- 5. Strategies to Support Continuity of Business Operations
- 6. Resources to Support Pandemic Influenza Preparedness Planning.

5



Innovative or Enhanced Strategies	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Ineffective	No/Insufficient Evidence
1. Accelerate Entry into Career Programs					
Refine assessment, transform developmental education and add support services to meet the needs of participants			X		
2. Create Clear Pathways to STEM Careers					
Expand access to and/or develop new stacked and latticed credentials in programs that meet employer needs			X		
3. Improve Employment Attainment					
Collaborate with industry, WIBs, state, and community-based organizations to engage, guide and employ participants			X		

## **Comments or recommendations specific to each section rated:**

- 1. Does not increase cognitive ability to support safety improvement of management
- 2. Course does not reinforce Hierarchy of Controls, or basic concepts used by EHS personnel.
- 3. Course did not discuss partnerships or facility solutions for pandemic and threats of violence.

6