

TAACCCT Voluntary Subject Matter Expert and Industry Partner Summary Template

To support grantees in documenting the fulfillment of the SGA requirements for using subject matter experts and industry partners for assuring the quality of materials developed and used for the grant, SkillsCommons has created a guide for grant project directors or designated project staff to complete and post within SkillsCommons that would aid in the documentation process. **The use of this template is voluntary.** The template the basic elements for describing the methodologies your project used to assure their compliance with subject matter expert and industry partner requirements. The SGA TAACCCT requirements for each round are provided at the end of this document.

SME: Describe the name, title, and type/amount of experience the subject matter expert(s) have in conducting reliable evaluations of the quality assurance of the materials content.

FT 193 Introduction to Marine Science Heather Spillane MS in Environmental Management

Program and presentation editing and updating for environmental science program Created peer-mentoring program for graduate students to indenfy program outcomes Created and taught marine ecosystem courses for three years

SME: Describe the quality assurance rubrics used to conduct the evaluation of the quality of the content produced by the grant.

Attached



Industry Partner: Describe the employer(s) (name, industry area, # of employees, employment opportunities, workforce development priorities) and describe how it was actively engaged in the project in one or more of the following ways: defining the program strategy and goals, identifying necessary skills and competencies, providing resources to support education/training (such as equipment, instructors, funding, internships, or other work-based learning activities), providing assistance with program design, and where appropriate, hiring qualified participants who complete grant-funded education and training programs.

Lisa Busch Executive Director, Sitka Sound Science Center 20 employees

Engaged by having Lisa sit on the UAS Fisheries Technology Advisory Committee, posting Fish Tech courses, coordinating field labs in region, hosting internships, and posting scholarship opportunities. Also asked Lisa to review course content and programmatic offerings.

Signature of Principal Investigator

Date

Print/Type Name of Principal Investigator

TAACCCT Project Name

SGA TAACCCT requirements by Round:

For Round 1:

"Successful applicants will be required to identify third-party subject matter experts to conduct reviews of the deliverables produced through the grant. Applicants should allot funds in their budget for the independent review of their deliverables by subject matter experts. Subject matter experts are individuals with demonstrated experience in developing and/or implementing similar deliverables. These experts could include applicants' peers, such as representatives from neighboring education and training providers. The applicant must provide ETA with the results of the review and the qualifications of the reviewer(s) at the time the deliverable is provided to ETA."

Retrieved 12/5/2014 from: http://doleta.gov/grants/pdf/SGA-DFA-PY-10-03.pdf. pg. 15.

General - Subject Matter Expert Review

Program/Course: Fisheries Technology/FT193

USDOL TAACCCT Pathways To Employment Grant University of Alaska Southeast - Sitka

Prepared by: Heather Spillane Date: 07/05/2017

------ page 1 of 2

Summary Review: Based on scoring from all rubric items				
Review Scale Definitions	In your opinion, is this course:		No	
For each component $(1.1 - 3.2)$, mark an X in the appropriate box.	Relevant	yes		
Excellent: Represents a "best practice" and a model example	Appropriate	yes		
Good: Complete and effective.	Measureable	yes		
Ineffective: Adequate but presents opportunities for improvement. N/A: Not Applicable to this course review.	Engaging	yes		

1. Course Outcomes and Activities	Excellent	Good	Ineffective	N/A
1.1 Course Outcomes are clearly stated.		Х		
1.2 Course Activities are sequenced in a natural progression for effective learning.		Х		
1.3 Course Outcomes are relevant to industry.		Х		
1.4 Course Activities are appropriate for the course level.		Х		
1.5 Expectations for effort and participation are clearly stated.			X	
Comments or recommendations:				
[1.5] "Class Participation" needs to be much clearer.				

2. Instructional Materials (lectures, reading and writing assignments, projects)	Excellent	Good	Ineffective	N/A
2.1 Course Materials are relevant to stated course outcomes.		Х		
2.2 Course Materials are relevant to industry and employers.		Х		
2.3 Course Materials are engaging to students.		Х		
2.4 Course Materials address/support one or more course outcomes.		Х		
2.5 Course Materials show evidence of distance delivery strategies.		Х		
Comments or recommendations:				

3. Assessments (exams, surveys, quizzes, assignments)	Excellent	Good	Ineffective	N/A
3.1 Evaluation of activities and content supports achievement of course outcomes.			Х	
3.2 Course grading and evaluation policies art clearly stated.		Х		
3.3 Assessments provide options for multiple learning styles.		Х		
3.4 Assessments are appropriate for course level.		Х		
3.5 Student feedback solicited.		Х		
Comments and recommendations:				
[3.1] Perhaps if it was clear how students are evaluated and whether or not they receiv	ve feedback in	n time to) matter.	

USDOL TAACCCT Pathways To Employment Grant University of Alaska Southeast - Sitka

----- page 2 of 2

Additional Comments and Recommendations

For a relatively brand new course, it would be extremely hard to earn a rank of "Excellent" in any of the above categories. By the numbers, the quantitative total score would indicate a "C" grade, however, I would say it deserves a "B". Most comments and recommendations for possible improvement I noted as I went along through each section.

Overall, I believe this is an important course for many students within the Fishery Technologies program, as well as other marine science programs offered at UAS. It implements a good foundation of marine science knowledge, and I would assume it is used as a prerequisite for more advanced courses.