

Formal Evaluation and Subject Matter Expert Summary Report



Maine is IT!
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY
A CONSORTIUM OF MAINE'S SEVEN COMMUNITY COLLEGES

ETL114

*Submitted to Maine is IT in fulfillment of the
TAACCCT grant requirements*

*By
Emporia State University*

EMPORIA STATE
UNIVERSITY
■ INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

February 8, 2017

This workforce solution was funded by a grant awarded by the U.S. Department of Labor's Employment and Training Administration. The solution was created by the grantee and does not necessarily reflect the official position of the U.S. Department of Labor. The Department of Labor makes no guarantees, warranties or assurances of any kind, express or implied, with respect to such information on linked sites, and including, but not limited to, accuracy of the information or its completeness, timeliness, usefulness, adequacy, continued availability, or ownership.

Developed by Anna J. Catterson, Ph.D., Emporia State University.

Course Review for: Maine is IT
Course: KVCC: ETL114 - Electrical Circuits II
Reviewed by: Joseph Kern
Date: 2/8/16

This review is based solely on the syllabus of the ETL114 course. No other course materials were made available.

Part 1: Course Review

A. Course Review & Introduction (16 points total)		
1.1 Instructions made clear how to get started and where to find various course components.	3	0
1.2 Learners are introduced to the purpose and structure of the course.	3	3
1.3 Etiquette expectations (sometimes called “netiquette”) for online discussions, email, and other forms of communication are clearly stated.	2	0
1.4 Course and or institutional policies with which the learner is expected to comply are clearly stated, or a link to current policies is provided.	2	2
1.5 Minimum technology requirements are clearly stated and instructions for use provided.	2	1
1.6 Prerequisite knowledge in the discipline and/or any required competencies are clearly stated.	1	0
1.7 Minimum technical skills expected of the learner are clearly stated.	1	0
1.8 The self-introduction by the instructor is appropriate and is available online.	1	0
1.9 Learners are asked to introduce themselves to the class.	1	0
Total		6
Comments: <p>1.1: No link to the LMS or instructions are given to help students access the course or its contents. Consider adding a direct course link.</p> <p>1.2: The purpose of the course is clearly and succinctly stated. The descriptions of the lecture and lab components adequately explain the course structure.</p> <p>1.3: Etiquette expectations (sometimes called “netiquette”) for online discussions, email, and other forms of communication should be covered. <i>Examples include:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Be sensitive to the fact that there will be cultural and linguistic backgrounds, as well as different political and religious beliefs, plus other differences in general. • Use good taste when composing your responses in Discussion Forums. Swearing and profanity is also part of being sensitive to your classmates and should be avoided. Also consider that slang can be misunderstood or misinterpreted. • Don’t use all capital letters when composing your responses as this is considered “shouting” on the Internet and is regarded as impolite or aggressive. It can also be stressful on the eye when trying to read your message. • Be respectful of your others’ views and opinions. Avoid “flaming” (publicly attacking or insulting) them as this can cause hurt feelings and decrease the chances of getting all different types of points of view. • Be careful when using acronyms. If you use an acronym it is best to spell out its meaning first, then put the acronym in parentheses afterward, for example: Frequently Asked Questions (FAQs). After that you can use the acronym freely throughout your message. • Use good grammar and spelling, and avoid using text messaging shortcuts. 		

1.4: Course and institutional policies adequately cover absences, academic dishonesty, late work, etc. The KVCC Student Code of Conduct is linked to support student navigation.

1.5: Required technology is not discussed in the syllabus, other than that students will need a specific model of calculator, and that exams will be delivered through WebCT. Guidance in using either of these, but especially the WebCT, would ensure that student issues do not hinder learning or completion or course requirements.

1.6: One prerequisite course is indicated, but no knowledge vital to the success of incoming students is listed.

1.7: Minimal skills for students entering the course are not listed.

1.8: No introduction for the instructor or link to an online introduction is given.

1.9: Nothing in the syllabus indicates explicitly that students are asked to introduce themselves.

B. Learning Objectives & Competencies (15 points total)

2.1 The course learning objectives, or course/program competencies, describe outcomes that are measurable	3	3
2.2 The module/unit learning objectives or competencies describe outcomes that are measurable and consistent with the course-level objectives or competencies.	3	2
2.3 All learning objectives and competencies are stated clearly and written from the learner's perspective.	3	3
2.4 The relationship between learning objectives or competencies and course activities is clearly stated.	3	2
2.5 The learning objectives or competencies are suited to the level of the course.	3	3
Total		13

Comments:

2.1: The course learning objectives are numerous. Students may benefit from having fewer, general objectives that relate to the course topics, giving them a simpler overview of what they will accomplish at the course level. The most complex objective under each topic could become one of the course objectives. The many, topic-specific objectives would serve better as unit-level objectives that lead to the more encompassing course objectives. All objectives appear to be measurable.

2.2: Course topics are listed, with many measureable unit-level objectives to address each topic. These serve double-duty, as both unit-level and course-level objectives, so they are self-aligning. Separating course-level and unit-level objectives is recommended.

2.3 : Objectives are written from student perspectives.

2.4: It is usually clear what course activities will be performed for each objective, but not always. Those beginning with “describe” or similar verbs, while they *can* be measurable, do not always explain the activities involved.

2.5: Objectives are appropriate for the course level.

C. Assessment & Measurement (13 points total)

3.1 The assessments measure the stated learning objectives or competencies.	3	3
3.2 The course grading policy is stated clearly.	3	3
3.3 Specific and descriptive criteria are provided for the evaluation of learners' work and are tied to the course grading policy.	3	3
3.4 The assessment instruments selected are sequenced, varied, and suited to the learner work being assessed.	2	2
3.5 The course provides learners with multiple opportunities to track their learning progress.	2	2
Total		13

Comments:

3.1: Assessments consist of frequent exams and lab projects throughout the course, as well as a final exam. These assessments are not available for review, and no weekly breakdown of course topics is available to review whether weekly assessments relate to the course objectives. Because the objectives include specifics about lab competencies, it is reasonable that the lab assessments would adequately measure these objectives.

3.2: Course grading policy is clear and succinct.

3.3: Lab projects will be graded according to simple rubrics that examine clarity, accuracy, and completeness. Criteria are tied to the grading policy.

3.4: Assuming that the assignments follow the flow of course objective topics, the changes in content and the use of hands-on activities to assess progress provide adequate sequence and variety.

3.5: Although the feedback available to students from each assignment is not described, the active learning and frequent exams should adequately allow students to gauge their progress.

D. Instructional Materials (13 points total)		
4.1 The instructional materials contribute to the achievement of the stated course and module/unit learning objectives or competencies.	3	3
4.2 Both the purpose of instructional materials and how the materials are to be used for learning activities are clearly explained.	3	0
4.3 All instructional materials used in the course are appropriately cited.	2	2
4.4 The instructional materials are current.	2	1
4.5 A variety of instructional materials is used in the course.	2	0
4.6 The distinction between required and optional materials is clearly explained.	1	1
Total		7
Comments: 4.1: Materials are not available for review, other than accessing the online table of content for the required textbook. Its topics align with the course objectives, so it is reasonable to conclude that it would contribute to achievement in the course competencies. 4.2: Materials and their purposes for learning are not explained. It is not clear whether all content and activities will come from the textbook or from some other source. 4.3: Materials listed are properly cited. 4.4: The materials are relatively current for their content area, published in 2003, although a 2007 edition of the textbook is available. 4.5: Not able to confirm the variety of the course's instructional materials. 4.6: No resources other than the required textbook are listed in the syllabus, so this distinction is adequately made.		

E. Course Activities and Learner Interaction (11 points total)		
5.1 The learning activities promote the achievement of the stated learning objectives or competencies.	3	3
5.2 Learning activities provide opportunities for interaction that support active learning.	3	3
5.3 The instructor's plan for classroom response time and feedback on assignments is clearly stated.	3	0
5.4 The requirements for learner interaction are clearly stated.	2	0
Total		6
Comments: 5.1: Activities apply a hands-on approach to achieve the objectives. 5.2: Students interact actively with content and with classmate learning groups. 5.3: No plan is provided for classroom response time or assignment feedback. 5.4: No requirements are listed for learner interaction.		

F. Course Technology (10 points total)

6.1 The tools used in the course support the learning objectives and competencies.	3	3
6.2 Course tools promote learner engagement and active learning.	3	3
6.3 Technologies required in the course are readily obtainable.	2	2
6.4 The course technologies are current.	1	1
6.5 Links are provided to privacy policies for all external tools required in the course.	1	0
Total		9

Comments:

6.1: Equipment used in the course is appropriate for supporting the objectives.

6.2: Tools used in labs promote active learning

6.3: The calculator that students are required to purchase is available online.

6.4: Course technologies are current.

6.5: No links are provided in the syllabus. A review of the agreement for each application required in the course will insure that student data required for the use of the software is secure. Linking to the agreements will allow students to easily access the policies.

G. Learner Support (9 points total)

7.1 The course instructions articulate or link to a clear description of the technical support offered and how to obtain it.	3	0
7.2 Course instructions articulate or link to the institution's accessibility policies and services.	3	3
7.3 Course instructions articulate or link to an explanation of how the institution's academic support services and resources can help learners succeed in the course and how learners can obtain them.	2	0
7.4 Course instructions articulate or link to an explanation of how the institution's student support services and resources can help learners succeed in the course and how learners can obtain them.	1	0
Total		3

Comments:

7.1: No technical support information is provided in the syllabus. It is recommended that multiple channels of tech support communication be listed in the syllabus to ensure that no student is put behind due to technical difficulties. This is especially true if exams are given over WebCt.

7.2: Specific steps are listed for students needing disability accommodations. Contact information for appropriate offices and a link to the KVCC ADA Policy are provided

7.3: No academic resources are listed. If tutoring, advising, or other student services are available to support academic success, these should be listed along with links or contact information.

7.4: Other than contact information to report and address discrimination, no student support services or resources are listed. If there are services to support student life resources, such as counseling or student wellness, these should be listed along with links or contact information.

H. Accessibility and Usability (12 points total)

8.1 Course navigation facilitates ease of use.	3	0
8.2 Information is provided about the accessibility of all technologies required in the course.	3	0
8.3 The course provides alternative means of access to course materials in formats that meet the needs of diverse learners.	2	0
8.4 The course design facilitates readability.	2	0
8.5 Course multimedia facilitate ease of use.	2	0
Total		0

Comments:

8.1: Unable to review this item. Course navigation should be designed to minimize the number of clicks necessary to access information.

8.2: Information regarding the accessibility of technology used is not included. This would include instructions on how to obtain and install any programs used.

8.3: Unable to review this item. In addition to varying the modality of content through text, audio, and video instruction, the Americans with Disabilities Act requires institutions to make accommodations for student who identify as having a disability. Work closely with your institution's office for disability services to identify resources to assist in making your course ADA compliant. For videos, a transcript or videos that are captioned are required as an effective means of communication.

8.4: Unable to review this item. Pay special attention to fonts, text color, and background color. Most learning management systems have a default appearance that is ADA compliant. Also, be aware that screen reader software will not recognize bold or italicized fonts. Check with your office of disability services before changing the appearance of your course.

8.5: Unable to review this item. When possible, embedding multimedia within the course LMS ensures ease of access and limits student issues that may arise when leaving the LMS to access outside resources.

Part II: Employment Data

Stakeholder Involvement and Employment Opportunities

Items Reviewed include:

- Internships, Job Shadowing Opportunities that exist with the outcomes and objectives with this course.
- Employment opportunities for these skills.
- Outcomes/Objectives are current and relate to job market.

- See Subject Matter Expert review for specific feedback.

Part III: Creative Commons

Items Reviewed include:

- All course materials presented in Creative Commons?
- Creative Common license (including graphic) is represented on course materials.

Findings include:

The syllabus indicates that all course materials other than the syllabus are subject to a copyright held by Microsoft, and thus, may not be shared in Creative Commons. The syllabus includes Creative Commons license information and the corresponding CC graphic.

Part IV: Subject Matter Expert (SME) Findings & Review

Course: KVCC: ETL114
Course Name: Electrical Circuits II
Reviewed by: Joseph Kern
Date: February 8, 2017

Background

Funded by a \$13 million grant from the U.S. Department of Labor, *Maine is IT!* is building new educational and career pathways in information technology at all seven of Maine's community colleges. The programs funded by the grant are designed to support Maine workers eligible for the Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) program, un/underemployed adults, and workforce needs in Maine's growing IT sector. They have been built to serve individuals with a range of experience, from those interested in gaining basic IT skills to IT professionals looking to advance their careers through new industry certifications.

Overall Remarks and Reviewer Summary

In reviewing ETL114 several processes and data collections tools were noted and identified. This reviewer took in account the Dynamic Skills Audit conducted in 2014-2015. Both qualitative and quantitative data was identified in the report that provides the key elements:

1. Career opportunities do exist within 35 miles of KVCC for graduates from an AAS in Electrical Technology or those completing a certificate program. It was also found by this reviewer that the skills mastered in ETL114 relate to specific job openings.
2. Current job openings list specific duties that relate the Electrical Circuits II course, ETL114.
3. The current Advisory Board indicates that ETL114 contributes to the labor market data.

There are several current job openings available for journeyman electricians, but few entry-level positions (as of 2/7/17) within a 35-mile radius of KVCC. A Fire Alarm Service Technician is currently being sought in Augusta, ME. The job does not require a license, but the ability "to produce journeyman level work servicing fire alarm and security systems," is required. An Electrician Helper or Licensed Journeyman is being sought by a private electrician business in Gardiner, ME.

The Dynamic Skills Audit outlined the following process, which this reviewer took into consideration when compiling this the formal SME report:

1. Local industry needs were assessed through the program Advisory Board. Minutes from those Advisory Board meetings were reviewed and suggestions from the partnerships were adopted into this summary.
2. Burning Glass data was reviewed to identify themes and trends in the current job market. The Burning Glass report helped identify skills demanded by employers to curriculum outcomes and learning objectives.

A formal SME was conducted with the above reports and compiled in the next section of this report.

A. Program and Course Overview and Objectives

Items Reviewed include:

- Dynamic Skills Audit Summary Report (Academic Years 2014-2015)
- Burning Glass Labor Market Data reports (Compilation)
- Advisory Board Minutes

Findings include:

The ETL114 course learning outcomes and objectives align with the program mission and goals. This reviewer found that the ETL114 course has listed measurable outcomes which can be stacked and latticed with other coursework. The industry sector for ETL114 has been categorized as: *238210 Electrical Work*. (See: <http://www.census.gov/cgi-bin/sssd/naics/naicsrch?code=238210&search=2017%20NAICS%20Search>) The reviewer finds that this classification is correct.

Those completing this course would enter the Bureau of Labor Statistics occupation classification of *SOC:17-3023 Electrical and Electronics Engineering Technicians*. (See: <https://www.bls.gov/soc/2010/soc170000.htm>)

The NCES CIP (Classification of Instructional Programs) is referenced as: *15: Engineering Technologies and Engineering-Related Fields*. (See: <https://nces.ed.gov/ipeds/cipcode/cipdetail.aspx?y=55&cipid=88137>) This is also an accurate classification.

This course was designed for 1st-year community college students or equivalent. One prerequisite is specified.

Listed course objectives include competencies dealing with the functions of:

- Alternating current and voltage
- The oscilloscope
- The signal generator
- The AC digital multimeter
- Miscellaneous test equipment
- Phasors and complex numbers
- Capacitors
- Magnetism and electromagnetism
- Inductors
- Transformers
- RC circuits
- RL circuits
- RLC circuits and resonance
- Basic filters

The content of these course objectives aligns with the topics listed in the course syllabus and textbook table of contents. This alignment also correlates to items found within the Dynamic Skills Audit and Burning Glass baseline skills as listed in the labor market data.

Specific review standards are listed in the table referenced below:

Table: Standard Reviewed Standards for Course Outcomes

Standard Reviewed	N/A	Satisfactory	Not Satisfactory
A.1 The learning outcomes are clearly stated and mapped to specific objectives and/or assignments.			X
A.2 Prerequisites and/or any required competencies are clearly stated.			X
A.3 Learning objectives for each course describe outcomes that are measurable.		X	
A.4 Learning objectives are appropriately designed for the level of each of the course.		X	
A.5 Instruction, activities, and assignments in courses are scaffolded from course to course, and throughout the program.		X	

A.1 - ETL114 articulates specific learning outcomes for the course. For many of these, the link between the outcome and activity is self-explanatory, but most are not tied to specific assignments or course activities.

A.2 – One prerequisite course is listed, but previous skills and knowledge are not stated.

A.3 - Course objectives are measurable.

A.4 - Learning objectives are appropriate for a 1st-year course.

A.5 – Activities appear to be scaffolded through the course, building in complexity throughout the course. The course's objectives fill an industry need within the program.

B. Relevancy

Items Reviewed include:

- Dynamic Skills Audit Summary Report (Academic Years 2014-2015)
- Burning Glass Labor Market Data reports (Compilation)
- Advisory Board Minutes

Findings include:

Course competencies are relevant to students, industry, and employers. Strong evidence was found in the Dynamic Skills Audit Summary Report. Direct ties were found through interviews with stakeholders and in Advisory Board minutes.

The table that follows is a clear matrix of how the course outcomes are relevant to students, industry, and employers:

Table: Matrix of evidence-based skills mapped to students, industry, and employers

Standard Reviewed	N/A	Satisfactory	Not Satisfactory
B.1 Course competencies represent industry's expectation of the overarching knowledge, skills, and abilities that 1 st year college students should possess.		X	
B.2 Core course competencies are relevant to industry and employers .		X	
B.3 Instruction, activities, and assignment in individual courses are relevant and engaging to students .		X	

B.1 - Course objectives align with industry expectations at the appropriate skill level, as they correspond to entry-level job requirements.

B.2 - Core competencies are relevant to industry and employers, as verified using the Burning Glass labor market data (<http://burning-glass.com/research/coding-skills/>) and the Dynamic Skills Audit Summary. Student learning objectives align with the competencies expected of new hires in the web development field and those listed by the Advisory Board.

B.3 - Activities and instruction defined in the course outline offer real-world application of electrical theory and troubleshooting of electrical circuits, which are beneficial to students seeking employment in this field.

C. Resources & Materials

Items Reviewed include:

- Dynamic Skills Audit Summary Report (Academic Years 2014-2015)
- Burning Glass Labor Market Data reports (Compilation)
- Advisory Board Minutes

Findings include:

Table: Instructional materials and their direct link to course outcomes

Standard Reviewed	N/A	Satisfactory	Not Satisfactory
C.1 The instructional materials contribute to the achievement of the stated course learning objectives.		X	
C.2 The purpose of the instructional materials is clearly explained.			X
C.3 The instructional materials present a variety of perspectives and approaches on the course content.			X
C.4 The instructional materials are appropriately designed for the level of the course.		X	

C.1 – The topics visible in the textbook’s online table of contents align with course activities.

C.2 – Explanations are not given to clarify how the materials will be used and what types of activities will be performed with them.

C.3 – Without seeing the course materials, this reviewer is unable to determine their variety regarding perspective and approach. The technology content varies throughout the course, which would lead to a variety of activities.

C.4 – Because the materials align with appropriate course outcomes, they are a good fit for the level of course.

D. Assessment & Measurement

Items Reviewed include:

- Dynamic Skills Audit Summary Report (Academic Years 2014-2015)
- Burning Glass Labor Market Data reports (Compilation)
- Advisory Board Minutes

Findings include:

Table: Measurement of effective learning

Standard Reviewed	N/A	Satisfactory	Not Satisfactory
D.1 The course evaluation/criteria/course grading policy is stated clearly on each syllabus.		X	
D.2 Course-level assessments (those that can be delivered) measure the stated learning objectives and are consistent with course activities and resources.		X	
D.3 Specific and descriptive criteria are provided for the evaluation of students' work and participation and are tied to the course grading policy.		X	
D.4 The assessment instruments (that can be delivered) are sequenced, varied, and appropriate to the content being assessed.		X	

D.1 – The grading policy is clearly stated.

D.2 – Assessments were not available for review, but the use of in-class labs and weekly exams covering each topic suggest that the learning objective would be sufficiently assessed.

D.3 – The syllabus states that lab work will be graded with a rubric. While no participation points are given in class, the students are expected to work on labs in class, and that they are expected to be made up if missed. This sufficiently ties participating to the grading policy.

D.4 – The sequence of class activities is not stated, but progression of course topics listed in the objectives would provide adequate sequence, and variety to lab assessments. Weekly labs and exams would also increase the variety of appropriate assignments.